

## CALIFORNIA ARCHITECTS BOARD

### FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

**Subject Matter of Proposed Regulations:** This final statement of reasons addresses the regulations applicable to Application Extension.

**Section(s) Affected:** Section 109 Article 2 of Division 2 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR)

**Updated Information:**

The Informative Digest and Initial Statement of Reasons are included in the rulemaking file and incorporated as though set forth herein.

The regulatory proposal was originally approved by the Board at its February 20, 2025 meeting. Subsequently, during the ongoing review process, changes were made to the proposed language to make it more clear. Therefore, the proposed language was brought back to the Board and approved at its June 5, 2025 meeting. The Notice documents were published by the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) on June 27, 2025. The 45-day public comment period closed on August 11, 2025. The Board received no comments during this time. There were no requests for a public hearing and no separate public hearing was held.

**Local Mandate:**

A mandate is not imposed on local agencies or school districts.

**Consideration of Alternatives:**

No reasonable alternative which was considered or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the Board would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which it was proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulations or would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law. The Board incorporates by reference the alternatives identified in its Initial Statement of Reasons and did not receive any comments that altered its findings.

**Objections or Concerns Received During 45-Day Public Comment Period and Board Responses:**

The Board received no comments regarding the proposed action during the 45-day public comment period. There were no adverse comments therefore, no response to adverse comments were considered by the Board and no changes to the text were deemed necessary in response.